



Plagiarism Checker X Originality Report

Similarity Found: 20%

Date: Thursday, September 06, 2018

Statistics: 255 words Plagiarized / 1249 Total words

Remarks: Medium Plagiarism Detected - Your Document needs Selective Improvement.

Image Classification based on Ensemble Subspace Discriminant method using Random Subspace Algorithm

*1K. Radhika and 2D.NagaJyothi 1,2 ECE Dept., GIST, Nellore, AP, INDIA

Abstract-Classification of images obtained from satellites is a challenge now-a-days in order to know the information contained by them. The ensemble can be used for weak learners also.

To judge whether the classifier is giving correct information or not validation is important. To validate this ensemble technique we have considered 50,100,150 and 200 sample points, examined the accuracy and necessary parameters. The nature of the proposed method for the above said number of sample points is discussed. Keywords - Classification; Satellite; Image; Random subspace; Ensemble.

1. Introduction Classification is playing major role in the extraction of information contained by the satellite images. During this process of classification several problems may come across like accuracy, misclassification etc.

There are several classifiers like Support vector machine, K nearest neighbors, neural network, maximum-likelihood [1-3]. But to improve accuracy and to reduce misclassification single classifier is not sufficient [4]. The prediction capability of the ensemble classifier model can be increased by the attributes. If we repeated N times, N number of new data sets will be generated.

After this, boosting is required to enhance the quality of the ensemble model. Random subspace is the majorly using ensemble strategy and this procedure is taken place in feature space. This will combine a set of weak classifiers into a strong classifier. 2. ENSEMBLE BASED CLASSIFIERS This can be possible by combining several methods.

These are developed to increase the performance of the prediction. All the benefits of individual classifier can be reached with ensemble methodology. 2.1 Random Subspace Classifier This is one kind of ensemble classifier. This will have several classifiers in a subspace of data feature space. The output of this classifier is depends on individual classifier results by majority voting rule.

The classifiers that can be combined to get Random subspace classifier are linear classifier, nearest-neighbour classification, support vector machine, etc. 2.2 RS Algorithm Random Subspace will build the one base classifier on each subset by taking samples of original feature set. Algorithm: Input training data U_m is the base classifier, $m=1,2,\dots,M$ Initialize the weight distribution of training data $w_i=1/n$, $i=1,2,\dots,n$

For $m= 1$ to M Compute the misclassification error rate $e_m=P(T_m(x_i))$ Calculate coefficient of U_m Normalization constant is α Update the weight w End Output α 3.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSIONS The classes defined here as A, B, C, and D. The optical or satellite input image is shown in figure 1(a). Figure 1(b) is the clustered output with SOM algorithm.

The entire procedure here is of two parts, first one is clustering process and last one is classification process. The clustered output of given satellite image is shown in figure 1(b). Figure 1(b) is given to ensemble classifier. The classifier output is shown in figure 1(c). Table 1, is the confusion matrix of validation with 50 ground truth points. And Table 2 is the quality parameter measurements.

A table 3 is the confusion matrix of 100 validation points. A table 4 is the different parameters along with overall accuracy. Observing all the tables, there no much change in overall accuracy of different number of validation points. The table 5 and table 7 are the confusion matrix of 150 and 200 validation points.

The table 6 and table 8 are the overall accuracy of different number of validation points.

/// (b) (c) Figure 1: Input image, clustered image and output image Table 1: Confusion matrix with 50 validation points

PRIDICTED \ ACTUAL CLASS	A	B	C	D	Total
A	10	2	0	0	12
B	1	13	0	0	14
C	0	0	15	0	15
D	0	0	0	9	9
Total	11	15	15	9	50

Table 2: Quality measurements with 50 validation points

Accuracy	Precision	Recall	Specificity	F1 score
A	0.94	0.909091	0.833333	0.973684
B	0.869565	0.866667	0.928571	0.944444
C	1	1	1	1
D	1	1	1	1
Over all	0.943939	0.940476	0.979532	0.941529

Table 3: Confusion matrix with 100 validation points

PRIDICTED \ ACTUAL CLASS	A	B	C	D	Total
A	18	3	0	2	23
B	2	34	0	0	36
C	0	0	31	0	31
D	0	0	0	10	10
Total	20	37	31	12	100

Table 4: Quality measurements with 100 validation points

Accuracy	Precision	Recall	Specificity	F1 score
A	0.93	0.9	0.782609	0.974026
B	0.837209	0.918919	0.944444	0.953125
C	1	1	1	1
D	0.833333	1	0.977778	0.909091
Over all	0.913063	0.931763	0.976232	0.919452

Table 5: Confusion matrix with 150 validation points

PRIDICTED \ ACTUAL CLASS	A	B	C	D	Total
A	33	0	2	1	36
B	2	42	1	2	47
C	2	2	33	0	37
D	0	2	0	28	30
Total	37	46	36	31	150

Table 6: Quality measurements with 150 validation points

Accuracy	Precision	Recall	Specificity	F1 score
A	0.9067	0.8919	0.9167	0.9649
B	0.9041	0.9130	0.8936	0.9612
C	0.9032	0.9167	0.8919	0.9735
D	0.9041	0.9032	0.9333	0.9750
Over all	0.9180	0.9062	0.9089	0.9686

Table 7: Confusion matrix with 200 validation points

PRIDICTED \ ACTUAL CLASS	A	B	C	D	Total
A	44	0	2	1	47
B	2	60	1	2	65
C	2	2	42	0	46
D	0	2	0	40	42
Total	48	64	45	43	200

Table 8: Quality measurements with 200 validation points

Accuracy	Precision	Recall	Specificity	F1 score
A	0.93	0.9167	0.9362	

_0.9739_0.9263__B__0.9375_0.9231_0.9704_0.9302__C__0.9333_0.9130_0.9805_0.9231__D__0.9302_0.9524_0.9810_0.9412__Over all__0.9294_0.9312_0.9764_0.9302__4.

CONCLUSION The algorithm is checked for 50, 100, 150 and 200 ground truth points and the corresponding overall accuracies and different quality parameters are calculated. The overall accuracy values are obtained are all similar almost in each case. The theme of usage of the different points was to check how far the values are accurate. All the four sets have given similar values in the accuracies and different quality parameters.

Generally, with less **number of validation points** the judgement of a procedure is not correct. In this connection we have verified for different set of validation points. So, it can be concluded that our validation points are correct to use for further procedures.

REFERENCES [1] S.Nithyakalyani, and B. Gopinath; "Analysis **of Node Clustering Algorithms on Data Aggregation in Wireless Sensor** Network", J Scilnd Res, vol.

75, 38-42, 2016. [2] S J. Lee, T R Lin Grace, and P H. His; "Industrial Cluster Development and its Contribution to Economic Growth in Taiwan - Hsinchu Science and Industrial Park (HSIP)", J Scilnd Res, Vol.76, 273-278, 2017. [3] K. Netti, and Y. Radhika; "A **Model for Accurate Prediction in GeoRSS Data Using Naive Bayes** Classifier", J Scilnd Res, vol. 76, 473-476, 2017.

[4] S A. Mousavi, Z. Hanifeloo, P. Sumari, and MRM. Arshad; "Enhancing **the Diagnosis of Corn Pests using Gabor Wavelet Features and SVM** Classification", J Scilnd Res, 75, 349-354, 2016.

INTERNET SOURCES:

13% - http://helix.dnares.in/wp-content/uploads/2017/12/2714-2718.AP_-14.pdf

<1% - <https://www.tandfonline.com/doi/full/10.1080/21580103.2016.1147498>

<1% - <http://support.sas.com/resources/papers/proceedings13/093-2013.pdf>

<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S1568494616305919>

<1% - <http://www.mdpi.com/1424-8220/16/12/2098/htm>

1% -

<https://www.alliedacademies.org/articles/analysis-of-different-types-of-entropy-measures-for-breast-cancer-diagnosis-using-ensemble-classification.pdf>

<1% - <https://www.sciencedirect.com/science/article/pii/S0010482518301872>

<1% - <http://cs.brown.edu/courses/cs143/2011/results/proj3/chenx/>

<1% - <https://www.hindawi.com/journals/scientifica/2017/9806479/>

1% - <http://nopr.niscair.res.in/handle/123456789/30200>

<1% - <https://mc2.engin.umich.edu/resources/publications/>

1% -

<http://nopr.niscair.res.in/bitstream/123456789/42546/1/JSIR%2076%288%29%20473-476.pdf>

2% - [http://www.niscair.res.in/jinfo/JSIR/JSIR%2075\(6\)%20\(Contents\).pdf](http://www.niscair.res.in/jinfo/JSIR/JSIR%2075(6)%20(Contents).pdf)